



Lawyers for Palestinian Human Rights' briefing on the urgent imperative for the UK government to ensure application of international law in response to Israel's imminent illegal annexation of parts of the occupied West Bank and its policy of illegal settlement expansion – including suggested substantive recommendations



About Lawyers for Palestinian Human Rights (LPHR)

LPHR is a lawyer-based charity in the UK that works on projects to protect and promote Palestinian human rights. We distinctly provide a legal and human rights perspective on issues affecting Palestinians. Our trustees include leading human rights lawyers, Sir Geoffrey Bindman QC, Fiona McKay and Tessa Gregory.

Authors of this LPHR briefing:

Tareq Shourou is the director of LPHR. Before becoming LPHR's first director in 2013, he worked as a human rights solicitor in London representing asylum claimants, unaccompanied children and survivors of human trafficking, and led the public legal advice service of the human rights organisation, Liberty. He holds a master's degree in Public International Law from King's College London.

Contact

Tareq Shourou
Director of LPHR
Email: contact@lphr.org.uk



1. This short briefing emphasises the urgent imperative for the UK government to ensure application of international law in response to Israel's imminent illegal annexation of parts of the occupied West Bank and its policy of illegal settlement expansion.
2. Condemnatory statements are insufficient to deter, or exact a cost, for ongoing and anticipated flagrantly illegal acts with grave human rights impacts for Palestinians in the occupied Palestinian territory.
3. Lawyers for Palestinian Human Rights outlines below the tangible actions that must be urgently implemented by the UK government to fulfil necessary compliance with its international law obligations and human rights responsibilities.

Annexation

4. According to [reporting on the agreement](#) signed by Benjamin Netanyahu and Benny Gantz to form a unity government on 20 April 2020, the Israeli government can begin to proceed with formal annexation of parts of the occupied West Bank, including all illegal Israeli settlements and the Jordan Valley, on 1 July 2020.
5. This is consistent with the terms of the '[Trump Plan](#)' of 28 January 2020, and the subsequent formation of the [US-Israel Joint Mapping Committee](#) comprising of high-level officials to decide which parts of the occupied West Bank will be annexed to Israel.
6. The formal (de jure) annexation of parts of the occupied West Bank to Israel, should it occur, will constitute a serious breach of a fundamental principle of international law.
7. There are precise [international law obligations](#) on all states that arise from a serious breach of a fundamental principle of international law. There is a legal duty on all states to cooperate to bring the breach to an end through lawful means, and complementary duties of non-recognition, non-aid and non-assistance in relation to the illegal situation.
8. A clear and compelling precedent for the implementation of these international law duties in an analogous situation is the concerted action taken by EU Member States in response to Russia's illegal annexation of Crimea and Sevastopol in March 2014. [Actions taken pursuant to these legal duties](#), and which are extant, include: substantial restrictions on economic exchanges with Crimea, visa bans, and asset freezes.
9. The EU [states](#): "*The EU policy of non-recognition consists of a broad range of measures. The goal is to demonstrate that the EU does not accept the illegal annexation, using tangible measures in addition to regular political and diplomatic action.*"
10. Foreign Secretary Dominic Raab has published two statements this year ([here](#) and [here](#)) that underscores the UK government's continuing commitment to applying tangible measures against Russia in response to its illegal annexation, emphasising that "*that we do not and will not accept its illegal annexation of Crimea and Sevastopol.*"
11. **This represents a model response to an illegal annexation, grounded in necessary recognition of and compliance with relevant international law duties. It provides the**



UK government with a clear precedent for concrete action in conformity with international law duties, should the Israeli government proceed with its serious threat to illegally annex parts of the occupied West Bank.

Settlements

12. Supplementing the threat of imminent annexation, in February 2020 Israel announced thousands of new housing units in illegal settlements in the occupied West Bank, including in East Jerusalem. The UK government expressed [condemnation](#) following these announcements.
13. History has however shown that declaratory statements of condemnation, although necessary, are insufficient to deter the Israeli government from continuing to breach international law.
14. The building of settlements and their expansion, including the associated infrastructure (the Barrier, military checkpoints and settler-only by-pass roads), has continued apace for decades, shrinking the space available for Palestinians to develop livelihoods and build essential housing and infrastructure. There is a well-recognised tight nexus between home demolitions and settlement expansion. Settling civilians from the occupying country into territories that it occupies violates international humanitarian law and constitutes a war crime under international criminal law.
15. Further, the presence of settlements and their associated infrastructure severely impedes the exercise by the Palestinian people of its right to self-determination. This has specific legal significance because the right to self-determination is an elevated norm of international law, equivalent to the prohibition on annexation, which consequently engages a range of legal duties (aforementioned at paragraph 7) that all states are required to implement when a serious breach occurs.
16. The UN Special Rapporteur on human rights in the occupied Palestinian territory, Professor Michael Lynk, recently published a [statement](#) calling on the international community to ensure there is a cost to defying international law in the context of settlement expansion, and we agree.
17. There are two key steps, to be enacted through legislation, that the UK should immediately take when it comes to settlements:
 - **Ban settlement goods from entering the UK marketplace. This is a requirement anchored by third-party state duties under international law. It should not inaccurately or misleadingly be interpreted as a call for a boycott against Israel, as settlements are not recognised as part of Israel under international law.**
 - **Prevent companies from operating in and trading with settlements, or otherwise from contributing to their maintenance and/or expansion.** The regulations should capture the three UK based companies listed in the recently published [UN database](#) for their “material and substantial” involvement in settlement-related activity: [JCB](#), Opodo and Greenkote. The database’s publication on 12 February 2020 provides an opportunity for



the UK to implement its commitment to foster corporate respect for human rights, as already called for in a recent [statement](#) by LPHR, Amnesty International UK, Quakers in Britain, War and Want and Christian Aid.

18. The UK government should also immediately give an undertaking that settlements are to be expressly excluded from all future trade agreements with Israel.
19. Settlements “*have no legal validity and are a flagrant violation of international law*” as reaffirmed by [UN Security Council resolution 2334](#); are inherently discriminatory; violate international criminal law; result in pervasive and systemic human rights violations against Palestinians on a daily basis; and settlement expansion “*promotes the effective annexation of the West Bank*” as recognised by [Foreign Secretary Dominic Raab in August 2019](#). Despite this, Israel’s settlement policy has alarmingly not changed.
20. We cannot afford to wait any longer: statements opposing illegal settlement expansion must be matched with concrete actions in necessary conformity with international law obligations and human rights responsibilities. At this extremely critical juncture, we urge the UK government to demonstrate needed international leadership and ensure the necessary application of international law, rather than inertia to the trampling of the rules-based international order with far-reaching consequences.

Tareq Shrourou